STUDY OF NUCLEAR SHAPES IN MERCURY ISOTOPES



Authors Liam P. Gaffney¹, Peter A. Butler¹ (Supervisor) Andrew Petts¹, Marcus Scheck¹



Abstract

The nucleus is a unique and complex, many-body quantum system consisting of protons and neutrons. The phenomenon of shape coexistence, when two or more differently shaped structures can compete at low excitation energies, occurs in nuclei with near 'magic' numbers of protons and between these 'magic' numbers for To confirm (or quash) theoretical predictions one can experimentally neutrons. determine the electric charge distribution of the excited states and hence assign a shape and deformation, or quadrupole moment, Q_0 . Presented is the ongoing study of Coulomb excitation of the radioactive nuclei ^{184,188}Hg.

The Experiment : REX-ISOLDE @ CERN



Physics Motivation



A triplet of low-lying O⁺ states in ¹⁸⁶Pb have recently been observed [1], each

2.0

Accelerating

Bunching

CD detector. Mercury on top, cadmium bottom.

The nuclei we want to study are unstable and radioactive so we need to synthesise them and transport them quickly. These are so called postaccelerated, Radioactive Ion Beams (RIBs)

* At ISOLDE, CERN (Geneva, Switzerland), 1.4 GeV protons impinge on a Uranium Carbide target to produce a huge number of reaction products. From these the mercury isotopes of interest are extracted as 1⁺ ions at very low energy (~60 keV) and feed it into a system of trapping, charge breeding, mass separating and accelerating, to a final energy of ~525 MeV.







<u>Can we prove the nuclear shape?</u>

By exciting the nucleus in the electric field of another nucleus (Coulomb excitation or Coulex) one can measure the charge distribution of the state that is populated which, if non-zero, indicates a deformed shape.

associated with a different shape. Other than the spherical ground state, there are two, deformed minima. These states can be considered as intruder states.



The excited nuclei decay within pico-seconds (10⁻¹² seconds), losing angular momentum by emitting a gamma-ray with a characteristic energy. Scattered projectiles and recoiling target nuclei are detected in a silicon detector, Fig.3.



Positive sign -> Prolate shape; Negative sign -> Oblate shape.

As the electro-magnetic force is very well understood, one can fit the experimental data to calculations to determine the deformation.



Fig 4: Gamma-ray spectrum for ¹⁸⁴Hg and ¹⁸⁸Hg after Coulomb excitation, coincident with target and projectile

The de-excitation gamma-rays are detected in the Miniball array of Hyper-Pure Germanium detectors. The acquired spectra (Fig. 4) along with a knowledge of the excitation process will now allow a quantitative understanding of the underlying structure in the nuclei. This process is ongoing.

References: [1] A N Andreyev et al. Nature 405 (2000) [2] Julin R, Helariotta K and Muikku M 2001 J. Phys. G. 27 R109 [3] S. Frauendorf and V. V. Pashkevich, Phys. Lett. B 55, 203 (1975)

<u>Collaborators</u>

N. Bree², P. Van Duppen², A. Andreyev², B. Bastin², A. Blazhev³, B. Bruyneel³, M. Carpenter⁴, J. Cederkäll⁵, E. Clement⁶, T.E. Cocolios², J. Dirkin², J. Eberth³, L. Fraile⁵, C. Fransen³, T. Grahn¹, M. Guttormsen⁷, K. Hadynska⁸, R.-D. Herzberg¹, M. Huyse², D.G. Jenkins⁹, J. Dirkin², J. Eberth³, L. Fraile⁵, C. Fransen³, T. Grahn¹, M. Guttormsen⁷, K. Hadynska⁸, R.-D. Herzberg¹, M. Huyse², D.G. Jenkins⁹, J. Dirkin², J. Eberth³, L. Fraile⁵, C. Fransen³, T. Grahn¹, M. Guttormsen⁷, K. Hadynska⁸, R.-D. Herzberg¹, M. Huyse², D.G. Jenkins⁹, J. Dirkin², J. Eberth³, L. Fraile⁵, C. Fransen³, T. Grahn¹, M. Guttormsen⁷, K. Hadynska⁸, R.-D. Herzberg¹, M. Huyse², D.G. Jenkins⁹, J. Dirkin², J. Eberth³, L. Fraile⁵, C. Fransen³, T. Grahn¹, M. Guttormsen⁷, K. Hadynska⁸, R.-D. Herzberg¹, M. Huyse², D.G. Jenkins⁹, J. Dirkin², J. Eberth³, L. Fraile⁵, C. Fransen³, T. Grahn¹, M. Guttormsen⁷, K. Hadynska⁸, R.-D. Herzberg¹, M. Huyse², D.G. Jenkins⁹, J. Dirkin², J. Eberth³, L. Fraile⁵, C. Fransen³, T. Grahn¹, M. Guttormsen⁷, K. Hadynska⁸, R.-D. Herzberg¹, M. Huyse², D.G. Jenkin⁹, J. Eberth³, L. Fraile⁵, C. Fransen³, T. Grahn¹, M. Guttormsen⁷, K. Hadynska⁸, R.-D. Herzberg¹, M. Huyse², D.G. Jenkin⁹, J. Eberth³, L. Fraile⁵, C. Fransen³, T. Grahn¹, M. Guttormsen⁷, K. Hadynska⁸, R.-D. Herzberg¹, M. Huyse², D.G. Jenkin⁹, J. Eberth³, J. Eberth R. Julin¹⁰, S. Knapen², Th. Kröll¹¹, R. Krücken¹¹, A.C. Larsen⁷, P. Marley⁹, P.J. Napiorkowski⁸, J. Pakarinen⁶, N. Patronis², P.J. Peura¹⁰, E. Piselli⁶, P. Reiter³, S. Siem⁷, I. Stefanescu², J. Van de Walle⁶, D. Voulot⁶, N. Warr³, D. Weissharr³, F. Wenanders⁶, M. Zielinska⁹

1 Oliver Lodge Laboratory, Department of Physics, University of Liverpool, UK 2 Instituut voor Kern-en Stralingsfysika, KU Leuven, Belgium 3 Institut für Kernphysik, Universität zu Köln, Germany 4 Argonne National Laboratory, Chicago, USA

5 Department of Physics, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden 6 CERN, Genève, Switzerland 7 Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Norway 8 Heavy Ion Laboratory, University of Warsaw, Poland

9 Department of Physics, University of York, UK 10 Department of Physics, University of Jyväskylä, Finland 11 Physik-Department E12, TU München, Germany