Introduction to Pulse Shape Analysis (PSA) Basis Generation -

- Coincidence and Pulse Shape Comparison based Scan (PSCS) -

Fabio Crespi Università di Milano - INFN

Outline

- Detector Characterization and Scanning
- Coincidence Scan Technique
- Pulse Shape Comparison Based Scan (PSCS)
 - Tests with Geant4 simulations
 - Application to standard HPGe detector
 - Application to AGATA detectors
 - Variant of the PSCS method requiring no collimators

Coincidence and Pulse Shape Comparison based Scan (PSCS)

PSA algorithms assume the detector position response to be known a priori (i.e. in the case of a single interaction event, the detector signal shape must be already determined)

Experimental extraction (<u>using standard techniques based on coincidence Measurements</u>) is prevented by the extremely long time needed for a full-volume detector scan

Detector position response is calculated solving the appropriate

electrostatic equations, different codes developed at this purpose, e.g.:

• *B. Bruyneel et al. NIMA 569 (2006) 764 + NIMA 569 (2006) 774 + NIMA 599 (2009) 196 + NIMA 608 (2009) 99 + NIMA 641 (2011) 92 ...

• Th. Kröll and D. Bazzacco, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. A 463 (2001);

• P. Medina, et al., A simple method for the characterization of HPGe detectors, IMTC, Como, Italy, 2004;

• M. Schlarb, R. Gernhäuser, R. Krücken, Simulation and Real-Time "Analysis of Pulse Shapes from HPGe Detectors, GSI Scientific Report 2008, p. 232.



$$\vec{v}_{Drift,(e/h)} = \mu_{(e/h)}(\vec{E})\vec{E} = \frac{d\vec{x}(t)}{dt}$$

ind $(t) = q\vec{E}_{weighting}(\vec{x}(t))\cdot\vec{v}(\vec{x}(t))$

Some critical aspects in reproducing precisely the detector position response

Characterization measurements of segmented HPGe AGATA detectors are fundamental

<u>Coincidence Scan</u>

- 662 keV pencil beam from a ¹³⁷Cs collimated source hits the front face of the detector
- A secondary collimation system is placed perpendicularly to the injected beam,
- Only photons that interacted in the detector and were scattered through 90° are selected.

Schematic representation of the AGATA S002 prototype detector mounted on the Liverpool scanning table. The coincidence scatter detectors and scatter collimator dimensions are labelled. There were six collimation depths on rig one and four collimation depths on rig two. The distance from the top of the Tungsten collimator to the front face of the crystal was 23mm.



- A.J. Boston et al., "Gamma-ray tracking: Characterization of the AGATA symmetric prototype detectors", NIMA 261 (2007) 1098
- L. Nelson et al., "Characterisation of an AGATA symmetric prototype detector", NIMA 573 (2007) 153
- M. Dimmock, PhD thesis, University of Liverpool, 2008
- A.J. Boston et al., "Performance of an AGATA asymmetric detector", NIMA 604 (2009) 48





The AGATA cryostat was suspended in a vertical position above the collimated 137Cs source. When operated in singles and coincidence modes, the source was positioned at various locations beneath the detector. The pulse shape response was measured at each location. The 90o scatter collimators and scatter detectors were only required for the coincidence measurements, however they were positioned prior to the singles scan to ensure that the data sets were comparable.



Photographs showing the stages of construction of the scatter collimator rig. The rig was built in two halves. Rig one surrounded sectors A, F and E of the AGATA detector, while rig two surrounded sectors B, C and D. a) lead sheets and five BGO detectors (two banks) for collimation depth one of rig one. b) View showing the sheets of lead that form the six collimation depths of rig one and four collimation depths of rig two. c) Final BGO detector arrangement for rig one. d) Final BGO (depth one) and NaI(Tl) (depths two to four) detector arrangement for rig two.



a) Rig1, collimation depth1



b) Rig1 (far) and Rig 2 (near) collimation



c) Rig 1 BGO detectors



d) Rig 2 NaI(Tl) detectors

*M. Dimmock, PhD thesis, University of Liverpool, 2008



Matrix of the centre contact energy versus scintillation detector energy for all fold one events that triggered the acquisition. A fold one gate was also applied to the scintillation detector banks. The small circular shaped region of high intensity in the centre of the matrix corresponds to the 900 scatters. The diagonal line that extends through either side of this region corresponds to other scattering angles through which the gamma rays could pass between the collimation gaps. The 662keV photo-peak was the result of random coincidences with photons, emanating from the source, penetrating the lead shielding and interacting in the AGATA detector.



Average (red) and all constituent (blue) pulse shapes for an interaction at x =78.8mm, y = 49.0mm, z = 33.1mm in segment C3, following the 2 rejection.

*M. Dimmock, PhD thesis, University of Liverpool, 2008



Figure 3.24a: In panel a) an AGATA crystal segment section is reported ,the direction along which the scanned points lie is indicated by a black line surrounded by a red dotted line. In panel b) is reported a schematic representation of the Liverpool scanning system [91,94], the segments on which the following figure 3.24b refers are high lined.



Figure 3.24b: Current pulse shapes resulting after the superimposition of experimentally measured signals, for segments E3, E4 and E5, are respectively reported in the upper left, upper right and bottom left panels. In each figure the



0.005

0.005

*P.Medina,etal.,ASimpleMethodfortheCharacterizationofHPGeDetectors, IMTC 2004,Como,Italy,website:/http://mgs2005.in2p3.fr/Mgs.phpS.

at 100 ns

ax at 400 ns

500

ax at 100 ns

ax at 400 ns

500

500

600

600

100 ns

200 ns

nax at 300 ns.

nax at 400 ns

200 ns

at 300 ns.

600

□ Only measurements in single mode, characterized by a defined collimation of the gamma ray source (\rightarrow large decrease of time consumption, as compared with the standard coincidence techniques)

Events of Interest are selected by means of a specific signal shape comparison procedure







A 662.7 keV γ-ray pencil beam hits a segment of the AGATA detector, in two perpendicular directions [a)] [b)]

**Simulation performed using a Geant4 based code :"Conceptual design and M onte Carlo simulations of the AGATA array", E. Farnea et al. NIMA 621 (2010) 331-343
(E. Farnea, D. Bazzacco, LNL-INFN(REP)—202 (2004) 158 website: <u>http://agata.pd.infn.it</u>.)

X AXIS (mm) 70 Z AXIS (mm 65 60 X AXIS (mm) 70 Z AXIS (mm) 65 60 55 Y AXIS (mm)



Fabio Crespi

PSCS method applied to a simulated^{**} 36-fold segmented HPGe AGATA detector: → calculated pulses are produced using the MGS^{*} code. In the simulation the effect of noise and electronic chain response is taken into account.^{*P. Medina, et al., IMTC, Como, Italy, 2004}



EGAN School, December 5-9, 2011- Liverpool, UK

 \Box All the pairs with a sufficient small $\chi 2$ value are matched with an energy release localized in a region of space of few mm³ around the point in which the two collimation lines cross

 \square The accuracy of the localization is directly proportional to the $\chi 2$ threshold value

Considering the signals acquisition rate the estimated time for a full volume scan of a large volume segmented HPGe detector (\sim 240 cm³) is of <u>less than a week</u>.



The signal shape associated to the coordinates of the collimation lines crossing point (DOTTED BLUE LINE) is compared with the signal shapes obtained with the scanning procedure (SOLID RED LINE)

□ The detector position response is extracted by averaging the signal shape associated to all the event pairs below the most stringent χ^2 threshold

























Application of the PSCS technique for the measurement of the position response of <u>a non-segmented coaxial HP</u>Ge detector, along the radial direction*



The Rise Time Values of the Averaged Signals reproduce the ones





C001 data taken in Liverpool:

1) Front Face Singles ScanC001 data taken in Liverpool:

-Traces of 128 samples digitized at 100 MHz

-The trigger was generated through a CFD on the core with a threshold of ~300 keV

-137Cs source collimated to 1mm swept Across Front face of the detector Step Lenght = 1 mmStep Duration = 60 s X-start 48 mm, X-range 86 mm Y-start 39 mm, Y-range 86 mm



2) Side Singles Scan Data C001 data taken in Liverpool :

-137Cs source collimated to 1mm swept Across Side face of the detector Step Lenght = 1 mm Step Duration = 30 s X-start 3 mm, X-range 82 mm Y-start 38 mm, Y-range 95 mm

3) Higher Statistics Side Singles Scan Data 137Cs source collimated to 1mm swept across Side face of the detector (Step Dur. = 150s)(performed only for 2 detector rings)

4) Planar Singles Scan Data (not yet used) 137Cs source collimated to 1.5 mm thick plane



TIME [10*ns]

PSCS has been used to extract the position response of 4 detector segments: A1,A2,F1,F2

- "Brute Force" comparison not possible (CPU time)

- Signal Parameterization (used also for RS_3D)

Selecting Shapes with an higher Multiplicity value allows to obtain an improved result



TIME [10*ns]

All Multiplicities

•Calculated Basis*: currently implemented and used in AGATA experiments (C001 Asymmetric Detector, used for experimental data acquisition in Liverpool University**)

• Experimental Basis: extracted using PSCS scan***(4 segments)



Signals Shapes that reproduce the same trend have been extracted with the Standard Coincidence Scan

This variant of the PSCS method requires <u>no collimators</u>, thus reducing further the time duration of the measurements. Specifically, <u>Geant4 simulations of an AGATA triple cluster with a ⁶⁰Co</u> source placed in different positions have been performed. The spatial distributions of single interaction events, obtained by imposing a gate on the Compton edge of the 1172 keV gamma line, is calculated.

The technique relies on the fact that <u>the combination of the number of counts in each detector voxel is in</u> <u>principle unique, provided that a sufficient number of measurements with a source illuminating the</u> <u>detector from different directions (3 at least) is available</u>. In this way the calculated number of counts per voxel can be compared with the number of events with a specific line-shape acquired in the 3 measurements. It will be therefore possible to associate each line-shape with a position, thus measuring the detector position response.

